arXiv:1703.00488v1 [math.AG] 1 Mar 2017

HOROSPHERICAL STACKS

ARIYAN JAVANPEYKAR, KEVIN LANGLOIS, AND RONAN TERPEREAU

Abstract. We prove structure theorems for algebraic stacks with a reductive group action and a dense open substack isomorphic to a horospherical homogeneous space, and thereby obtain new examples of algebraic stacks which are global quotient stacks. Our results partially generalize the work of Fantechi-Mann-Nironi and Geraschenko-Satriano for abstract toric stacks.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Group actions on algebraic stacks 4
3. Abstract horospherical stacks 7
4. Describing toroidal abstract horospherical stacks 9
5. Toroidification 10
6. Towards the general case 12
References 16

1. Introduction

Several theories of *abstract toric stacks*, i.e., algebraic stacks with a torus action and a dense open substack isomorphic to the torus, have been introduced over the last years; see [Laf02, BCS05, Iwa09, FMN10, Tyo12, GS15a, GS15b, GM]. These stacks admit a simple combinatorial description, via *stacky fans*, and thus provide a class of stacks which are easy to handle. Moreover, in some cases they have a natural interpretation in terms of moduli spaces (e.g. as the parameter space of certain tuples of effective Cartier divisors on toric varieties in [GS15b, Section 7]).

The aim of this paper is to generalize some structure results from the setting of abstract toric stacks to the more general setting of *abstract horospherical stacks*. More precisely, we characterize algebraic stacks with a reductive group action and a dense open substack isomorphic to a horospherical homogeneous space as stacky quotients of horospherical varieties. Let us mention that we were first led to investigate this problem by the work of Borisov-Chen-Smith [BCS05], Fantechi-Mann-Nironi [FMN10], and Geraschenko-Satriano [GS15a, GS15b] on abstract toric stacks.

To state our results, we first review the basic definitions; see also Section 3. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group over k. A closed subgroup H of G is *horospherical* if it contains a maximal unipotent subgroup of G. In this case, the normalizer $P := N_G(H)$ of H in G is a parabolic subgroup of G and the quotient $\mathbb{T} := P/H = \mathrm{Aut}^G(G/H)$ is a torus. A homogeneous space G/H is *horospherical* if H is a horospherical subgroup of G. Note that the natural morphism $G/H \to G/P$ is a Zariski $\mathbb{T}$-torsor over the flag variety G/P.

Date: March 3, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L30 (14M17, 14D23, 14M25).
Key words and phrases. Horospherical varieties, toric stacks, flag varieties, equivariant embeddings, quotient stacks.

A *horospherical G-variety* X is a normal G-variety with an open horospherical G-orbit; see for instance [Pas06, Pas08] for a presentation of the theory of horospherical varieties (and their relation to Fano varieties). Horospherical varieties appear naturally as orbit closures of certain linear representations [PV72]. Moreover, they form a simple class of spherical varieties [Pau81, Kno91, Per14] containing both toric varieties and flag varieties.

We generalize the notion of horospherical G-variety as follows. We say that a finite type normal algebraic stack $\mathcal{X}$ over k endowed with a G-action is an *abstract horospherical G-stack* if there is a G-stable dense open substack of $\mathcal{X}$ which is G-isomorphic to a horospherical homogeneous space G/H. If, in addition, the rational map $\mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow G/P$ induced by the open immersion $G/H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism of stacks, then we say that $\mathcal{X}$ is a *toroidal* abstract horospherical G-stack; see Definitions 3.1 and 3.3. We note that we recover the classical theory of horospherical varieties by considering stacks which are (representable by) varieties.

Let us note that if $G = \mathbb{T}$ is a torus and $H = \{1\}$, then the (toroidal) abstract horospherical G-stacks with a dense open substack G-isomorphic to $G/H = \mathbb{T}$ are precisely the *abstract toric stacks* considered in the work of Geraschenko-Satriano [GS15a, GS15b]. We recall the main result of [GS15b] which is a characterization of certain abstract toric stacks as quotients of toric varieties.

Theorem 1.1. [GS15b, Theorem 5.2] — *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth abstract toric stack such that the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}$ is affine and the geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$ have reductive inertia groups, then $\mathcal{X}$ is equivariantly isomorphic to a quotient stack $[X/K]$, where X is a toric variety with torus T and K is a closed subgroup of T.*

It is stressed in [GS15b, Section 5.1] that Theorem 1.1 fails without the assumption on the diagonal and the inertia groups. Moreover, it is shown in [GM] that Theorem 1.1 fails if one drops the smoothness assumption.

Our main results are a characterization of certain abstract horospherical G-stacks, without the restriction that G is a torus, as quotients of horospherical varieties; see Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 below.

To simplify the notation in the following of this article, we introduce another class of stacks. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an algebraic stack with a G-action over k. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is a *horospherical G-stack* if there exist a horospherical $G \times T$-variety X, where T is a torus acting faithfully on X, and a closed subgroup K of $\mathrm{Aut}^{G\times T}(X)$ containing T, such that $\mathcal{X}$ is G-isomorphic to the stacky quotient $[X/K]$; see Definition 3.5.

We note that the class of horospherical stacks is an intermediate class between the class of horospherical varieties and the class of abstract horospherical stacks. Indeed, it suffices to take $T = K = \{1\}$ for $\mathcal{X}$ to be a horospherical G-variety.

Our first result characterizes toroidal abstract horospherical stacks.

Theorem 1.2. *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth toroidal abstract horospherical G-stack such that the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}$ is affine and the geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$ have reductive inertia groups, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack.*

We push our methods a bit further and obtain a general structure result for smooth (not necessarily toroidal) abstract horospherical stacks, under suitable assumptions. Namely, to prove our main result, we require the following stack-theoretic conjecture (see also Section 6). We will say that an open substack $\mathcal{Y}$ in a stack $\mathcal{X}$ is *big* if its complement has codimension at least 2.

Conjecture 1.3 (Criterion for quasi-affineness)**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth integral finite type algebraic stack over k with affine diagonal and reductive inertia groups. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ contains a big open substack $\mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a (smooth) quasi-affine scheme with $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a quasi-affine scheme.*

To prove our next result, we use Theorem 1.2, the theory of Cox rings of horospherical varieties, and Conjecture 1.3.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that Conjecture 1.3 holds. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth abstract horospherical G-stack with dense open substack G/H such that the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}$ is affine, the geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$ have*

reductive inertia groups, and the natural (right) action of the torus $\mathbb{T} = P/H$ on G/H extends to $\mathcal{X}$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack.

Using the techniques employed in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we also obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth abstract horospherical G-stack. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ admits an open covering by horospherical G-stacks. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack.*

To prove Theorem 1.5, we first establish that Conjecture 1.3 holds true for horospherical G-stacks (Proposition 6.5). Our motivation to prove Theorem 1.5 comes from the proof of Theorem 1.1 (by Geraschenko-Satriano) for a smooth abstract toric stack $\mathcal{X}$. Indeed, the proof Theorem 1.1 consists of first showing the existence of an open covering of $\mathcal{X}$ by toric stacks [GS15b, Theorem 4.5] and then an analogue of Theorem 1.5 in the setting of smooth abstract toric stacks.

In Section 2 we recall some properties of group actions on algebraic stacks. Next, we define the class of abstract horospherical stacks and the subclass of horospherical stacks in Section 3. Our first result (Theorem 1.2) is proven in Section 4; see Theorem 4.3. Our proof reduces Theorem 1.2 to the main result of Geraschenko-Satriano (Theorem 1.1) on abstract toric stacks, and therefore also relies crucially on Luna's étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks as proven by Alper-Hall-Rydh [AHR].

As an application of our abstract structure results we construct *toroidifications* of abstract horospherical G-stacks (Proposition 5.1) and we prove that abstract horospherical G-stacks have finitely many G-orbits (Corollary 5.3). Moreover, we show that, if the G-orbits of $\mathcal{X}$ are of codimension at most 1, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth horospherical G-stack; see Proposition 5.6 for a precise statement. We then use all the previous results together with facts on Cox rings of horospherical varieties and Conjecture 1.3 to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 in Section 6.

Remark 1.6. Throughout this paper we assume that the base field k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. The essential reason for this restriction on the characteristic is that our main results rely on the results of Geraschenko-Satriano [GS15b] where the base field is assumed to be algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

Remark 1.7. Part of our results could easily be extended to the setting of spherical varieties. However, in several places we use in a crucial way the particular features of horospherical varieties (e.g. to construct the toroidification in Proposition 5.1 or to reduce to the toric case in the proof of Theorem 1.2).

Remark 1.8. Let us mention that Wedhorn considers *spherical spaces* in [Wed]. These are families of spherical varieties over arbitrary base schemes. This is another generalization of the notion of a spherical variety which is different from ours since for us the base scheme is $\operatorname{Spec} k$. On the other hand, in [Hau00] Hausen considers complex analytic spaces with a G-action and a dense open orbit G-isomorphic to a spherical homogeneous space. He then obtains a criterion for algebraicity. This criterion applies in our situation for abstract horospherical stacks which are algebraic spaces.

Acknowledgements. We thank Matthieu Romagny for his help in writing Section 2.2. We thank Jarod Alper for his patience and numerous explanations, and his help in proving Theorem 1.4. We thank Michel Brion, Adrien Dubouloz, Jack Hall, Johannes Hofscheier, Andrew Kresch, Martin Olsson, Matthew Satriano, David Rydh, and Angelo Vistoli for answering our questions and for their comments on a preliminary version of this work. The first named author gratefully acknowledges support from SFB/Transregio 45. The second named author is supported by the Heinrich Heine University. The third named author is grateful to the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik of Bonn for the warm hospitality and support provided during the beginning of the writing of this paper. The authors are grateful to the Australian National University in Canberra for its hospitality in March 2016 where part of this work was done.

Conventions. Throughout this article, we let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A variety (over k) is an integral separated finite type scheme over k. A linear algebraic group

(over k) is a finite type affine group scheme over k. By a subgroup, we always mean an algebraic closed subgroup. We use the conventions of the Stacks Project [Sta17, Tag 026N] for algebraic stacks.

2. Group actions on algebraic stacks

In this section we gather presumably well-known properties of group actions on algebraic stacks.

2.1. **Stack-theoretic images.** Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of finite type algebraic stacks over k. We define the *stack-theoretic image* of $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ to be the closed substack $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ whose ideal is the kernel of the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \to f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. This coincides with the scheme-theoretic image if $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are schemes [Sta17, Tag 01R6].

Note that f factors through $\mathcal{Z}$. Moreover, for any other closed substack $\mathcal{Z}'$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ such that f factors through $\mathcal{Z}'$, we have $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}'$. By [Sta17, Tag 01R8], the induced morphism $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Z}$ is dominant. Also, it follows from the minimality of $\mathcal{Z}$ that, if $\mathcal{X}$ is reduced, then $\mathcal{Z}$ is a reduced algebraic stack.

2.2. **Orbits of group actions.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an algebraic stack over k, and let G be a group scheme over k. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is a *G-stack* (over k) if G acts on the groupoid $\mathcal{X}$; see [Rom05, Definition 1.3.(i)]. Note that, if $G' \to G$ is a morphism of group schemes over k, then any G-stack naturally inherits the structure of a G'-stack. A morphism of G-stacks $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is the data of a morphism of G-groupoids $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$; see [Rom05, Definition 1.3.(ii)].

Lemma 2.1. *The singular locus of a G-stack is a G-stable closed substack.*

Proof. Let x be an object of a G-stack $\mathcal{X}$. Let g be an element of G. Let $P \to \mathcal{X}$ be a presentation. Note that multiplication by g induces an automorphism $g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$. Hence, pulling-back along $P \to \mathcal{X}$ induces an isomorphism $P' \to P$. Note that $P' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a presentation as well. If $p' \in P'$ is a point lying over x' which maps to a point $p \in P$ lying over $x := gx'$, then p' is regular if and only if p is regular. Since regularity is local in the smooth topology, we conclude that x is regular if and only if x' is regular. This concludes the proof. □

Let $m : G \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a G-action on the algebraic stack $\mathcal{X}$ and let $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ be a k-point of $\mathcal{X}$. We denote by $\overline{G.x}$ the stack-theoretic image of the morphism $G \to \mathcal{X}$ obtained as the composition

$$G = G \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_G \times x} G \times_k \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{X} .$$

Note that, for all x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$, the stack-theoretic image $\overline{G.x}$ of x in $\mathcal{X}$ is a G-stable closed substack of $\mathcal{X}$. Suppose that G is irreducible. Then, as the morphism $G \to \overline{G.x}$ is dominant and G is irreducible , it follows that $\overline{G.x}$ contains a dense irreducible constructible substack. Therefore, if G is irreducible, then $\overline{G.x}$ is irreducible and reduced, hence integral.

Note that the codimension of $\overline{G.x}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is well-defined. Moreover, as the codimension of a substack in a finite type algebraic stack $\mathcal{X}$ over k is bounded from above by the dimension of a smooth surjective presentation $R \to \mathcal{X}$, the maximum of $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{G.x}, \mathcal{X})$, as x runs over $\mathcal{X}(k)$, is well-defined. This will be used in Sections 5 and 6.

For x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$, we have a morphism

$$G \to \mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{X}, \quad g \mapsto (x, gx).$$

We pull-back the diagonal $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{X}$ along this morphism and obtain a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

We refer to H as the *stabilizer (group scheme)* of x. (We emphasize that if $\mathcal{X}$ is a G-stack and x is an object of $\mathcal{X}(k)$, then the inertia group of x in $\mathcal{X}$ does not coincide with the stabilizer of x in general.) Note that H is not necessarily a subgroup of G. However, H is a group scheme over k. Indeed, if S is a scheme over k, then the S-objects of H are pairs (g, a) with g in $G(S)$ and $a : gx \to x$ an isomorphism in $\mathcal{X}(S)$ (where we consider x as an object of $\mathcal{X}(S)$ via the functor $\mathcal{X}(k) \to \mathcal{X}(S)$). Now, let us define $(g, a).(g', a')$, where (g, a) and (g', a') are objects of $H(S)$. Define $g'' := gg'$ in $G(S)$. Moreover, let $a'' : gg'x \to gx \to x$ be defined as a' multiplied with g and composed with a. (Here we use that G also acts on the morphisms in X.) Then, we define $(g, a).(g', a') := (g'', a'')$. In particular, the morphism $H \to G$ given by $(g, a) \mapsto g$ is a homomorphism. Let K be its image. The closed subscheme K of G is a subgroup scheme and the morphism $H \to K$ is faithfully flat.

Note that H acts on G (via $H \to G$). This action is not necessarily free. Indeed, note that, if $(1, a)$ is an element of $H(k)$, then a is an object of the inertia group I_x of x. Now, since $(g, a)g' = gg'$, the element $(1, a)$ acts trivially for all a in the inertia group of x. Conversely, any a in I_x gives an element $(1, a)$ of $H(k)$. We see that the kernel of the action of H on G is naturally the inertia group of x.

Now, as H maps to K equivariantly for the action on G, there is a natural G-equivariant morphism of algebraic stacks

$$[G/H] \to G/K.$$

We will refer to $[G/H]$ as *the (stack-theoretic structure of the) orbit of x in $\mathcal{X}$*. Note that the morphism $G \to \overline{G.x}$ is H-invariant. Therefore, there is a natural morphism $[G/H] \to \overline{G.x}$. Since the morphism $G \to \overline{G.x}$ is dominant, the morphism $[G/H] \to \overline{G.x}$ is dominant. We will say that the G-stack $\mathcal{X}$ has a finite number of G-orbits if the set (of k-isomorphism classes of objects of) $\mathcal{X}(k)$ is a finite union of G-orbits.

2.3. **Normalization and equivariant resolution of the indeterminacy locus.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a finite type algebraic stack over k. Our discussion of the normalization of $\mathcal{X}$ closely follows [AB, Appendix A]. A morphism of algebraic stacks $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a *normalization (of $\mathcal{X}$)* if, for all smooth morphisms $U \to \mathcal{X}$ with U a scheme, the scheme $U \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}'$ is the normalization of U.

If X is an integral affine scheme, say $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$, then the normalization of X is given by $\operatorname{Spec} B$ with B the integral closure of A in its field of fractions. More generally, if $\mathcal{X}$ is an algebraic stack, then one can construct a normalization morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ following the analogous construction for algebraic spaces given in [Sta17, Tag 07U4]. Moreover, by adapting the arguments in [Sta17, Tag 0BB4] for algebraic spaces, it follows that $\mathcal{X}'$ is normal and unique up to unique isomorphism. Furthermore, for all normal algebraic stacks $\mathcal{Y}$ over k and for all morphisms $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ there is a morphism $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}'$ such that $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ factors as $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$. Note that normal algebraic stacks behave like normal schemes in many ways. For instance, a finite type integral normal algebraic stack over k is nonsingular in codimension one.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a quasi-finite representable morphism of finite type algebraic stacks over k. Then, for all x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$, the image of the inertia group I_x in $I_{f(x)}$ is of finite index.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [GS15b, Proposition 3.2]. Let $G = I_{f(x)}$. Since k is algebraically closed, the residual gerbe of $\mathcal{Y}$ at $f(x)$ is trivial. Therefore, we have a stabilizer-preserving morphism $BG \to \mathcal{Y}$ [Alp10, Definition 2.10]. Since stabilizer-preserving morphisms are stable under base-change, it suffices to show that the morphism $BG \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \to BG$ induces finite index inclusions on inertia groups.

Let $\operatorname{Spec} k \to BG$ be the universal G-torsor over BG. Let $U = \operatorname{Spec} k \times_{BG} (BG \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X})$ and note that U is a G-torsor over $BG \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, since f is quasi-finite and finite type, it follows that U is quasi-finite and finite type over $\operatorname{Spec} k$. It follows that $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$, where A is a zero-dimensional finite type k-algebra.

Let H be the inertia group of a point in $BG \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$. Note that H is the stabilizer of a point u in U (with respect to the action of G). Therefore, the set $(G/H)(k)$ identifies with a subset of $U(k)$. Since U is finite over k, we conclude that G/H is finite. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a finite type integral algebraic stack over k. The normalization $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a representable proper quasi-finite birational surjective morphism. Moreover, for all x' in $\mathcal{X}'(k)$ with image x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$, the image of the inertia group $I_{x'}$ in I_x is of finite index.*

Proof. Let $P \to \mathcal{X}$ be a smooth surjective morphism with P a scheme. Note that $P' := P \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}'$ is the normalization of P and thus a scheme. Therefore, the normalization morphism is representable by [Sta17, Tag 04ZP]. Since, $P' \to P$ is finite surjective, it follows that $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is proper quasi-finite and surjective; see [Sta17, Tag 02LA and Tag 02KV]. To see that $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is birational, let $V' \subseteq P'$ be a dense open which is isomorphic (via $P' \to P$) to some dense open V of P. The image U' of V' in $\mathcal{X}'$ is open and maps to the image U of V in $\mathcal{X}$. Since the morphism $U' \to U$ is an isomorphism after pull-back along the cover $V \to U$, the morphism $U' \to U$ is an isomorphism, so that $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is birational. The last statement follows from Lemma 2.2. □

Remark 2.4. The normalization morphism of an algebraic stack is not necessarily stabilizer-preserving.

We now show that the indeterminacy locus of a rational map from an algebraic stack to a proper scheme can be resolved.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a normal algebraic G-stack of finite type over k and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a G-stable dense open substack of $\mathcal{X}$. Let Y be a proper scheme over k with a G-action. If $\mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow Y$ is a G-equivariant rational map which is defined on $\mathcal{U}$, then there exists a representable proper birational surjective morphism of normal algebraic G-stacks $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ which is an isomorphism over $\mathcal{U}$ such that the composed G-equivariant rational map $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow Y$ is defined everywhere. Moreover, $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ induces finite index inclusions on inertia groups.*

Proof. As Y is a proper scheme over k, the morphism $\mathcal{X} \times_k Y \to \mathcal{X}$ obtained by base change is proper and stabilizer-preserving. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{U} \times_k Y$ be the graph of the G-equivariant morphism $\mathcal{U} \to Y$. We let $\overline{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times_k Y$ be the closure of Γ in $\mathcal{X} \times_k Y$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{X}'$ be the normalization of $\overline{\Gamma}$. Note that the normalization map $\mathcal{X}' \to \overline{\Gamma}$ is a representable quasi-finite proper birational morphism of G-stacks which induces finite index inclusions on inertia groups (Lemma 2.3). It follows that the composed morphism

$$\mathcal{X}' \to \overline{\Gamma} \to \mathcal{X} \times_k Y \to \mathcal{X}$$

is a representable proper birational (surjective) morphism which induces finite index inclusions on inertia groups. Also, as the composed G-equivariant rational map $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow Y$ coincides over a dense open substack of $\mathcal{X}'$ with the composed morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X} \times_k Y \to Y$, this concludes the proof of the proposition. □

2.4. **Algebraic stacks over homogeneous spaces.** Let G be a linear algebraic group over k, let P be a closed subgroup of G, and let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a finite type P-stack. We define the algebraic stack $G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ to be $(G \times \mathcal{Y})/P$, where P acts on $G \times \mathcal{Y}$ via

$$\forall p \in P, \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \forall y \in Y, \quad p \cdot (g, y) := (gp^{-1}, p \cdot y).$$

Note that G acts on $G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ by left multiplication on the first factor. Also, the projection $G \times \mathcal{Y} \to G$ induces a G-equivariant morphism $G \times^P \mathcal{Y} \to G/P$ such that the stack-theoretic fibre over P/P is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}$. Therefore, since G acts transitively on G/P, all k-fibres of $G \times^P \mathcal{Y} \to G/P$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a G-stack. Let $\mathcal{X} \to G/P$ be a G-equivariant morphism whose fiber over P/P, equipped with the P-action induced by restricting the G-action, is the P-stack $\mathcal{Y}$. Then, $\mathcal{X}$ is G-isomorphic to $G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ over G/P.*

Proof. We follow the proof of Ressayre [Res04, Lemme 6.1]. It suffices to show that the natural morphism $\pi : G \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ given by $(g, y) \mapsto g \cdot y$ is a P-torsor for the étale topology. To do so, since $p : G \to G/P$ is an étale locally trivial P-torsor, it suffices to show that $G \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ has a section, locally for the étale topology. To construct such a section, let $\psi : \Omega \to G/P$ be an étale cover such

that $G \times_{G/P} \Omega$ is trivial over Ω. Let $\sigma : \Omega \to G$ be such that $\psi = p \circ \sigma$. Define $U := \Omega \times_{G/P} \mathcal{X}$. Note that the natural morphism $f : U \to \mathcal{X}$ is an étale cover. Define $s : U \to G \times \mathcal{Y}$ by

$$(\omega, x) \mapsto \left(\sigma(\omega), \sigma(\omega)^{-1} \cdot x\right).$$

Note that $\pi \circ s = f$. Thus, we conclude that $G \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a P-torsor. □

Corollary 2.7. *Assume that G is connected and P is a parabolic subgroup of G. If $\phi : \mathcal{X} \to G/P$ is a morphism of G-stacks and $\mathcal{Y}$ is the (stack-theoretic) fiber of ϕ over P/P, then $\mathcal{X} \to G/P$ is a Zariski locally trivial fibration which is G-isomorphic to $G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ over G/P.*

Proof. Since P is a parabolic subgroup, the morphism $G \to G/P$ is a Zariski locally trivial P-torsor [BT65, Theorem 4.13]. In particular, the morphism $G \times^P \mathcal{Y} \to G/P$ is a Zariski locally trivial fibration whose fibers are isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}$. However, by Proposition 2.6, the algebraic stack $\mathcal{X}$ is G-isomorphic to $G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ over G/P. We conclude that $\mathcal{X}$ is Zariski locally trivial over G/P. □

3. Abstract horospherical stacks

In the following, we always denote by G a connected reductive linear algebraic group over k. We start by introducing the notion of abstract horospherical G-stacks. We keep the same notation as in the introduction. Namely, G/H is a horospherical G-homogeneous space, P denotes the normalizer of H in G, and $\mathbb{T}$ is the torus $P/H = \mathrm{Aut}^G(G/H)$.

Definition 3.1. A normal integral algebraic G-stack $\mathcal{X}$ is an *abstract horospherical G-stack* if $\mathcal{X}$ contains a G-stable dense open substack G-isomorphic to a horospherical homogeneous space G/H.

As mentioned in the introduction, we recover the classical theory of horospherical varieties by considering stacks which are (representable by) varieties.

Remark 3.2. Let us note that if X is a horospherical G-variety, then the G-equivariant automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}^G(X)$ of X coincides with the torus $\mathbb{T} = P/H = \mathrm{Aut}^G(G/H)$; see for instance [AKP15, Lemma 4.1]. In other words, the natural (right) action of $\mathbb{T}$ on the open orbit G/H always extends to X. However we do not know whether the $\mathbb{T}$-action on G/H always extends if we replace X by an abstract horospherical G-stack.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an abstract horospherical G-stack with dense open substack G/H. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *toroidal* if the G-equivariant rational map $\mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow G/P$, induced by the open immersion $G/H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$, is a morphism of G-stacks.

Remark 3.4. If G is a torus and $H = \{1\}$, then the (toroidal) abstract horospherical G-stacks are precisely the *abstract toric stacks* studied by Geraschenko-Satriano in [GS15a, GS15b].

We now define horospherical G-stacks. We will see (Remark 4.4) that they form a proper subclass of the class of abstract horospherical G-stacks.

Definition 3.5. An algebraic G-stack $\mathcal{X}$ over k is a *horospherical G-stack* if there exist a horospherical $G \times T$-variety X, where T is a torus acting faithfully on X, and a subgroup K of $\mathrm{Aut}^{G\times T}(X)$ containing T, such that $\mathcal{X}$ is G-isomorphic to the stacky quotient $[X/K]$. We will refer to $\mathcal{X}$ as the horospherical G-stack associated with the pair (X, K).

Remark 3.6. If G is a torus and $H = \{1\}$, then the (toroidal) horospherical G-stacks are precisely the *toric stacks* studied by Geraschenko-Satriano in [GS15a, GS15b], i.e., quotients of a toric variety by a subgroup of the torus.

Remark 3.7. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/K]$ be a horospherical G-stack. If U denotes the open $G \times T$-orbit of X, then U/K is a horospherical G-homogeneous space, and thus $[X/K]$ is an abstract horospherical G-stack in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Remark 3.8. There is a well-developed combinatorial description for horospherical varieties; see for instance [Pas06, Pas08]. Therefore, one could also obtain a combinatorial description for horospherical stacks proceeding as in [GS15a, Section 2] or [GM, Section 2].

Example 3.9. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$, $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $P := N_G(H) = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$, and $\mathbb{T} := P/H = \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \cong \mathbb{G}_m$. The G-homogeneous space G/H is horospherical and identifies with $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ with the natural action of G. It follows from the combinatorial description that the horospherical G-varieties with open orbit G-isomorphic to G/H are the following: $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathbb{A}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathrm{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^2)$, and $\mathrm{Bl}_0(\mathbb{P}^2)$. If $K = \tilde{K}/H$ is any (closed) subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ and X is one of the six G-varieties above, then $\mathcal{X} = [X/K]$ is a horospherical G-stack with open orbit $G/\tilde{K}$. Also, if Y is a toric variety with torus T, then $\mathcal{X} = [(X \times Y)/(\tilde{K} \times T)]$ is again a horospherical G-stack with open orbit $G/\tilde{K}$, but now $X \times Y$ is not a horospherical G-variety, it is a horospherical $G \times T$-variety.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/K]$ be a horospherical G-stack. Then the horospherical stack $\mathcal{X}$ is toroidal if and only if the horospherical variety X is toroidal.*

Proof. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \dashrightarrow & G'/P' = G/P \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{quotient}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{identity}} \\ \mathcal{X} = [X/K] & \dashrightarrow & G/P, \end{array}$$

where X is a $G' = G \times T$-horospherical variety and $\mathcal{X}$ is the horospherical G-stack associated with the pair (X, K); see Definition 3.5. In particular, it is clear that, if $\mathcal{X}$ is toroidal, then X is toroidal. Conversely, if X is toroidal, then it follows that $\mathcal{X}$ is toroidal from the fact that the morphism $X \to G/P$ is K-invariant. □

Lemma 3.11. (Horospherical algebraic spaces are schemes.)
If $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack and $\mathcal{X}$ is an algebraic space, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a scheme.

Proof. We follow the arguments in [GS15b, Remark 6.3]. Indeed, as $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack, there exist a horospherical G'-variety X and a subgroup K of $\mathbb{T} = \mathrm{Aut}^{G'}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ is G-isomorphic to $[X/K]$; see Definition 3.5. By Sumihiro's theorem [Sum74, Corollary 2], the normal $\mathbb{T}$-variety X is covered by $\mathbb{T}$-invariant (hence K-invariant) affine open subsets U_i. If $\mathcal{X}$ is an algebraic space, then K acts freely on X, therefore for each i the quotient $U_i \to U_i /\!\!/ K = \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathcal{O}(U_i)^K$ is a principal K-bundle (by Luna's slice theorem), and thus $U_i /\!\!/ K$ coincides with $[U_i/K]$. This means that the algebraic space $\mathcal{X}$ admits an open covering by affine varieties and therefore is a scheme. □

Remark 3.12. Note that a horospherical stack which is an algebraic space might not be separated (e.g. the affine line with a double origin is a horospherical $\mathbb{G}_m$-stack).

Recall that a linear algebraic group is *diagonalizable* if it is a subgroup of a torus.

Lemma 3.13. *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack over k, then $\mathcal{X}$ is an integral normal algebraic stack of finite type over k with affine diagonal and diagonalizable inertia groups.*

Proof. Let X be a horospherical G'-variety over k and let K be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^{G'}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{X} \cong [X/K]$. To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that $[X/K]$ is normal with affine diagonal, and that the inertia groups of $[X/K]$ are diagonalizable.

First, since K is a smooth finite type group scheme over k, the morphism $X \to [X/K]$ is smooth. In particular, as X is normal, it follows that $[X/K]$ is normal.

Since K is an affine and finite type group scheme, the diagonal of $[X/K]$ is affine and of finite type; see [GS15b, Lemma 3.3].

The inertia group of a geometric point of $[X/K]$ is a subgroup of K. As any subgroup of K is diagonalizable, this concludes the proof. □

4. Describing toroidal abstract horospherical stacks

The following lemma is an analogue of Geraschenko-Satriano's [GS15b, Lemma 4.1] in the setting of abstract horospherical stacks.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an abstract horospherical G-stack with dense open substack G/H and assume that the natural (right) action of the torus $\mathbb{T} = P/H$ on G/H extends to $\mathcal{X}$. Then, $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack if and only if $[\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{T}]$ is a horospherical G-stack.*

Proof. Note that if $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack, then it is clear that $[\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{T}]$ is a horospherical G-stack.

We assume that $[\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{T}]$ is a horospherical G-stack. Therefore we can write $[\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{T}] = [X/K]$ with X a horospherical G'-variety and $K = \mathrm{Aut}^{G'}(X)$, where $G' = G \times T$ for some torus T acting faithfully on X. Denoting by G'/H' the open G'-orbit of X, the following diagram has Cartesian squares:



In this diagram, the horizontal arrows from left to right are K-torsors. The vertical arrows from top to bottom are $\mathbb{T}$-torsors. The remaining arrows are equivariant open immersions. The group $G' \times \mathbb{T}$ acts transitively on $G'/H' \times_{G/P} G/H$, and the stabilizer H'' of the point (eH', eH) contains $H' \times \{e\}$, that is, $G'/H' \times_{G/P} G/H = (G' \times \mathbb{T})/H''$ is a horospherical $G' \times \mathbb{T}$-homogeneous space.

Since the algebraic $G' \times \mathbb{T}$-stack Z is a $\mathbb{T}$-torsor over the variety X, it is an integral normal separated scheme of finite type. Thus, we conclude that Z is a horospherical $G' \times \mathbb{T}$-variety. This shows that $\mathcal{X} = [Z/K]$ is a horospherical G-stack. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a toroidal abstract horospherical G-stack with dense open substack G/H, and let $\mathbb{T} = P/H$. The following statements hold.*

1. *There exist an integral normal algebraic $\mathbb{T}$-stack $\mathcal{Y}$ of finite type over k with a dense open substack which is $\mathbb{T}$-equivariantly isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}$ and an isomorphism of G-stacks $\mathcal{X} \cong G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ over G/P, where P acts on $\mathcal{Y}$ via $P \to \mathbb{T}$. Moreover, the stack $\mathcal{Y}$ has affine diagonal and reductive inertia groups provided that $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies these properties.*
2. *The group $G \times \mathbb{T}$ acts on $\mathcal{X} \cong G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ via*

$$(g, pH).(g', y) := (gg', p.y) = (gg'p, y).$$

Proof. To prove (1), note that the existence of an isomorphism of G-stacks $\mathcal{X} \cong G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ over G/P is Corollary 2.7 , where $\mathcal{Y}$ is the stack-theoretic fiber of $\mathcal{X} \to G/P$ over P/P. As the fibration $G \times^P \mathcal{Y} \to G/P$ is Zariski locally trivial, $\mathcal{X}$ is an integral normal finite type stack if and only if $\mathcal{Y}$ is an integral normal finite type stack. The last statement in (1) also follows from the fact that the fibration $G \times^P \mathcal{Y} \to G/P$ is Zariski locally trivial.

Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is an integral P-stack and the subgroup H of P acts trivially on the dense open substack P/H, the group H acts trivially on $\mathcal{Y}$. Therefore, the P-stack $\mathcal{Y}$ has a natural action of $\mathbb{T} =$

P/H. Also, the $\mathbb{T}$-stack $\mathcal{Y}$ contains a dense open substack (namely P/H) which is $\mathbb{T}$-equivariantly isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}$. □

In the proof of the next theorem we will use Geraschenko-Satriano's local structure theorem for (not necessarily smooth) abstract toric stacks.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a toroidal abstract horospherical stack such that the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}$ is affine, and the geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$ have reductive inertia groups. The following statements hold.*

(1) There exist an integer $n \geq 1$ and an open covering of $\mathcal{X}$ by horospherical G-substacks $\mathcal{X}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_n$.
(2) If $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack.

Proof. As before, we denote by G/H the horospherical homogeneous space which identifies with a dense open substack in $\mathcal{X}$. By Lemma 4.2, there exist an integral normal algebraic $\mathbb{T}$-stack $\mathcal{Y}$ of finite type over k with a dense open substack which is $\mathbb{T}$-equivariantly isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}$ and an isomorphism of G-stacks $\mathcal{X} \cong G \times^P \mathcal{Y}$ over G/P, where P acts on $\mathcal{Y}$ via $P \to \mathbb{T}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{X}$ has affine diagonal and reductive geometric inertia groups, it follows that the stack $\mathcal{Y}$ has affine diagonal and reductive geometric inertia groups.

It now follows from Geraschenko-Satriano's local structure results [GS15b, Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.5] that $\mathcal{Y}$ is the union of open $\mathbb{T}$-substacks $\mathcal{Y}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Y}_n$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_i = [Y_i/K_i]$, where Y_i is a toric variety for the action of a torus T_i and $K_i \subseteq T_i$.

Let $\mathcal{X}_i = G \times^P \mathcal{Y}_i$, and note that $\mathcal{X}_i$ is an open G-substack of $\mathcal{X}$. To conclude the proof of (1), it suffices to show that $\mathcal{X}_i$ is a horospherical G-stack. By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that $[\mathcal{X}_i/\mathbb{T}]$ is a horospherical G-stack. To do so, note that the G-stack

$$[\mathcal{X}_i/\mathbb{T}] \cong [(G \times^P \mathcal{Y}_i)/\mathbb{T}] \cong G/P \times [\mathcal{Y}_i/\mathbb{T}] \cong G/P \times [Y_i/T_i] \cong [(G/P \times Y_i)/T_i]$$

is a horospherical G-stack.

To prove (2), we assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth. In this case, the stack $\mathcal{Y}$ is smooth. Thus, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\mathcal{Y}$ is a toric stack. Write $\mathcal{Y} = [Y/K]$, where Y is a toric variety with torus T_Y and $K \subseteq T_Y$. Then, as before,

$$[\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{T}] \cong G/P \times [Y/T_Y] \cong [(G/P \times Y)/T_Y]$$

is a horospherical G-stack. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, we conclude that $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack, as required. □

Remark 4.4. If one drops the smoothness assumption on $\mathcal{X}$ in the statement of Theorem 4.3, then $\mathcal{X}$ is not necessarily a horospherical G-stack. See [GM, Section 4] for an example of a non-smooth abstract toric stack that is not a toric stack.

5. Toroidification

In this section, we apply the structure results obtained previously to construct the toroidification of an abstract horospherical G-stack $\mathcal{X}$ (Proposition 5.1) and we show that, if the G-orbits of $\mathcal{X}$ are of codimension at most 1, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth horospherical G-stack (Proposition 5.6). This will be the starting point in our proof of Theorem 1.4 in Section 6.

Proposition 5.1 (Toroidification)**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an abstract horospherical G-stack with dense open substack G/H. There exist a toroidal abstract horospherical G-stack $\mathcal{X}'$ and a representable proper birational morphism of G-stacks $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ which induces finite index inclusions on inertia groups.*

Proof. Recall that $P := N_G(H)$ is a parabolic subgroup of G, i.e., the homogeneous space G/P is a flag variety. Since G/P is proper over k, by Proposition 2.5, there exists a representable proper birational morphism of G-stacks $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ which induces finite index inclusions on inertia groups and such that the induced rational map $\mathcal{X}' \dashrightarrow G/P$ is a morphism. In particular, the G-stack $\mathcal{X}'$ is a toroidal abstract horospherical G-stack. □

We refer to a morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ as in Proposition 5.1 as a *toroidification* of $\mathcal{X}$. Note that any toroidification induces an isomorphism over the dense open substack G/H of $\mathcal{X}$.

Remark 5.2. There exists a natural choice of $\mathcal{X}'$ when $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/K]$ with X a horospherical G'-variety and $G' = G \times T$. One can define $\mathcal{X}'$ as the horospherical G-stack $[X'/K]$, where X' is the *discoloration* of X; see [Bri91, Section 3.3] for an explicit construction of the discoloration of a spherical variety.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an abstract horospherical G-stack. If $\mathcal{X}$ has affine diagonal and reductive inertia groups, then $\mathcal{X}$ has only finitely many G-orbits.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ be the toroidification morphism of Proposition 5.1. Since $\mathcal{X}$ has affine diagonal and reductive inertia groups, it follows that $\mathcal{X}'$ has affine diagonal and reductive inertia groups. Indeed, for all x' in $\mathcal{X}'(k)$ with image x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$, as the subgroup $\mathrm{Im}(I_{x'} \to I_x)$ is of finite index in I_x, we have that $I^0_{x'} = I^0_x$, and thus $I_{x'}$ is reductive. To see that the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}'$ is affine, it suffices to show that the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is affine. To do so, as the property of having affine diagonal is fppf local on the target, we may and do assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a scheme. Since $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is representable, it follows that $\mathcal{X}'$ is an algebraic space. Since $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a proper morphism of algebraic spaces, it follows that the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a closed immersion hence affine.

Now, as the toroidification morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is G-equivariant and surjective, to prove the corollary, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{X}'$ has only finitely many G-orbits. Thus, we may and do assume that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}'$, so that $\mathcal{X}$ is a toroidal abstract horospherical G-stack.

Now, by (1) in Theorem 4.3, there exist an integer $n \geq 1$ and an open covering of $\mathcal{X}$ by horospherical G-substacks $\mathcal{X}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_n$. As the statement of the corollary is local on $\mathcal{X}$, we may and do assume that $n = 1$, so that $\mathcal{X}$ is a toroidal horospherical G-stack.

Finally, write $\mathcal{X} = [X/K]$ with X a toroidal horospherical G'-variety and K a subgroup of its torus. Note that the G-orbits of $\mathcal{X}$ correspond one-to-one to the G'-orbits of X. Then, as X has only finitely many G'-orbits [Per14, Theorem 2.1.2], it follows that $\mathcal{X}$ has only finitely many G-orbits. □

Remark 5.4. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an abstract horospherical G-stack with affine diagonal and reductive inertia groups. The proof of Corollary 5.3 also shows that, for all x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$, the identity component of I_x is a torus.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a representable proper birational morphism of finite type integral algebraic stacks over k. Let $D \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a closed integral substack, and let D' be an irreducible component of its preimage which surjects onto D. Then*

$$\mathrm{codim}(D, \mathcal{X}) \geq \mathrm{codim}(D', \mathcal{Y}).$$

Proof. Let $P \to \mathcal{X}$ be a smooth finite type surjective morphism with P a scheme. As $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is representable, it follows that $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} P$ is an algebraic space. Since smooth finite type morphisms are codimension preserving, we may and do assume that $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ are algebraic spaces in which case the statement of the lemma is well-known. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an abstract horospherical G-stack such that the diagonal of $\mathcal{X}$ is affine, and the geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$ have reductive inertia groups. Suppose that* $\mathrm{codim}(\overline{G.x}, \mathcal{X}) \leq 1$ *for all x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth toroidal horospherical stack.*

Proof. Let x be a singular object of $\mathcal{X}(k)$. Since the singular locus of $\mathcal{X}$ is a G-stable closed substack (Lemma 2.1) and of codimension at least two (by normality of $\mathcal{X}$), we see that $\overline{G \cdot x}$ is of codimension at least two in $\mathcal{X}$. This contradicts our assumption that $\mathrm{codim}(\overline{G \cdot x}, \mathcal{X}) \leq 1$ for all x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$. It follows that $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth.

Let $f : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ be a toroidification (Proposition 5.1). To conclude the proof, by Theorem 4.3, it suffices to show that f is an isomorphism.

As $f : \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is a representable proper birational morphism and $\mathcal{X}$ is an integral normal (even nonsingular) algebraic stack, it follows from Zariski's Main Theorem that $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is an isomorphism

[LMB00, Théorème 16.5] provided that f is quasi-finite. Thus, to conclude the proof, it suffices to show that f is quasi-finite.

To do so, let $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ be an object which is not a point of the open orbit G/H. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the inverse image of $\overline{G \cdot x}$ in $\mathcal{X}'$. Since $\mathcal{X}'$ has only finitely many G-orbits (Corollary 5.3), there exist an integer $n \geq 1$ and objects $x'_1, \ldots, x'_n$ in $\mathcal{X}'$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = \cup_{i=1}^n \overline{G \cdot x'_i}$.

Note that $\overline{G \cdot x}$ is of codimension one in $\mathcal{X}$, by our assumption. In particular, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, it follows that the closed substack $\overline{G \cdot x'_i}$ is of codimension one in $\mathcal{X}'$ (Lemma 5.5). Therefore, pulling-back the latter morphism along a presentation of $\mathcal{X}$, a dimension argument (applied to the pull-back of $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ along a presentation of $\mathcal{X}$) shows that $\overline{G \cdot x'_i} \to \overline{G \cdot x}$ is generically quasi-finite. (Here we only need that the codimension of $\overline{G \cdot x'_i}$ equals the codimension of $\overline{G \cdot x}$.)

Thus, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, there is a dense open U_i of $\overline{G \cdot x}$ over which $\overline{G \cdot x'_i} \to \overline{G \cdot x}$ is quasi-finite. Let U be the intersection of all U_i. Then, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the morphism $\overline{G \cdot x'_i} \to \overline{G \cdot x}$ is quasi-finite over U.

Since $U \subseteq \overline{G \cdot x}$ is a dense open, the union $V := \cup_{g \in G} gU$ is a G-stable dense open of $\overline{G \cdot x}$. Since $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is G-equivariant and quasi-finite over U, the morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ is quasi-finite over V. We now show that $V = \overline{G \cdot x}$. To do so, we argue by contradiction. Thus, let us assume that $V \neq \overline{G \cdot x}$.

Let W be the complement of V in $\overline{G \cdot x}$. Note that W is a G-stable closed substack of codimension at least one in $\overline{G \cdot x}$. In particular, W is of codimension at least two in $\mathcal{X}$. Let w be an object of $W(k)$. Then the closed substack $\overline{G \cdot w}$ is contained in W and therefore of codimension at least two in $\mathcal{X}$. This contradicts our assumption that $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{G \cdot x}, \mathcal{X}) \leq 1$ for all x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$. Hence, $V = \overline{G \cdot x}$ and f is quasi-finite. □

6. Towards the general case

In this last section we first discuss Conjecture 1.3. We prove Conjecture 1.3, under suitable assumptions; see Lemma 6.3 and Proposition 6.5. Finally, we use the theory of Cox rings and our results so far to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

6.1. About Conjecture 1.3.

We restate our conjecture for the reader's convenience.

Conjecture 1.3 (Criterion for quasi-affineness)**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth integral finite type algebraic stack over k with affine diagonal and reductive inertia groups. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ contains a big open substack $\mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a (smooth) quasi-affine scheme with $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a quasi-affine scheme.*

Remark 6.1. If X is a smooth integral finite type scheme with affine diagonal and trivial Picard group, then X is quasi-affine. Indeed, by [Sta17, Tag 01QE] the scheme X is quasi-affine if and only if its structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ is ample. As X is a smooth integral finite type scheme with affine diagonal and $\operatorname{Cl}(X) = \operatorname{Pic}(X) = \{0\}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{O}_X$ is ample by [Sta17, Tag 01PS].

Remark 6.2. Let X be the affine plane with a double origin over k. Then X is a smooth finite type integral scheme over k whose diagonal is not affine. Indeed, X contains two open subschemes U and V isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2_k$ whose intersection $U \cap V$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2_k - \{0\}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Pic}(X) = \operatorname{Cl}(X) = 0$, and X contains a big open subset Y isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^2_k - \{0\}$. Therefore, Conjecture 1.3 is false if one drops the hypothesis on the diagonal.

We recall that a normal K-variety X where K is an algebraic group is called *K-factorial* if every K-stable Weil divisor on X is principal. We now establish Conjecture 1.3 for certain stacks; see Lemma 6.3 and Proposition 6.5.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/K]$ be a quotient stack where K is a diagonalizable group acting on a normal variety X. Assume that there exists a big open substack $U \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ which is a quasi-affine scheme with $\operatorname{Cl}(U) = 0$. If $\mathcal{O}(X)^\times = k^\times$, then K is trivial and $\mathcal{X} = X$ is a scheme.*

Proof. Let $\tau : X \to \mathcal{X}$ be the quotient map and consider the preimage $V := \tau^{-1}(U)$. Note that the subscheme $V \subseteq X$ is a K-stable big open subset on which the K-action is free. Let us show that V is K-factorial.

Let D be a K-stable Weil divisor on V. Since the map $\tau : V \to U$ is a K-torsor, there exists a Weil divisor D' on U such that $\tau^*(D') = D$. As $\mathrm{Cl}(U) = 0$, there exists a rational function $f \in k(U)$ such that $\mathrm{div}_U(f) = D'$. It follows that $D = \tau^*\mathrm{div}_U(f) = \mathrm{div}_V(f \circ \tau)$ is a principal divisor. This shows that V is K-factorial.

Since $V \to U$ is a K-torsor and K is affine over k, we see that V is quasi-affine over k (use [Sta17, Tag 02L5]). Thus, K is a diagonalizable group acting freely on the normal quasi-affine variety V, every invertible function on V is constant (because X is normal with $\mathcal{O}(X)^\times = k^\times$ and V is a big open of X), and V is K-factorial. Under these assumptions, by [HS10, Proposition 2.7], it follows that the character group $\chi(K)$ of K is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cl}(U)$. We conclude that $\chi(K) = \mathrm{Cl}(U) = 0$, so that K is trivial and $\mathcal{X} = X$ is a scheme. □

Remark 6.4. If, in the notation of Lemma 6.3, we also assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth, then U is smooth and $\mathrm{Cl}(U) = \mathrm{Pic}(U)$ is trivial. Therefore, Lemma 6.3 implies that Conjecture 1.3 holds for a quotient stack $[X/K]$ with K a diagonalizable group and X a smooth variety satisfying $\mathcal{O}(X)^\times = k^\times$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/K]$ be a smooth horospherical which contains a big open substack $\mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a quasi-affine scheme with $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical variety. In other words, Conjecture 1.3 holds for smooth horospherical stacks.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Y}' := G \cdot \mathcal{Y}$ which is a big open substack of $\mathcal{X}$ containing $\mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth scheme, $\mathcal{Y}'$ is also a smooth scheme. Moreover, as $\mathrm{codim}(\mathcal{Y}' \setminus \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}') \geq 2$, it follows that $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}') = \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$, and thus $\mathcal{Y}'$ is a quasi-affine scheme by Remark 6.1. Hence, replacing $\mathcal{Y}$ by $\mathcal{Y}'$ if necessary, we may and do assume that $\mathcal{Y}$ is G-stable.

Let G' be the connected reductive group acting on X with dense open orbit G'/H'. By [Kno91, Theorem 2.1], the G'-variety X admits a G'-stable open covering $\{X_i\}$ such that any X_i has a unique closed G'-orbit. Thus, replacing X by X_i if necessary, we may and do assume that X has a unique closed G'-orbit.

By [Per14, Theorem 2.3.2], there exist a parabolic subgroup $P' \subseteq G'$ with unipotent radical P'_u, a P'-stable affine open subset $X_0 \subseteq X$ with $X = G' \cdot X_0$, a closed L-stable subset $Z \subseteq X_0$ (where L is a Levi subgroup of P'), and an L-isomorphism

$$P' \times^L Z = P'_u \times Z \to X_0,\ (u, x) \mapsto u \cdot x.$$

Note that $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}'$, where $\mathbb{T}' = \mathrm{N}_{G'}(H')/H'$. Now, the complement of X_0 in X is a union of B'-stable prime divisors intersecting G'/H', where $B' \subseteq G'$ is a Borel subgroup contained in P'. In particular, X_0 is $\mathbb{T}'$-stable. Thus, since $G' \cdot X_0 = X$, to prove that $[X/K]$ is a scheme, it suffices to show that $[X_0/K]$ is a scheme.

As $\mathcal{O}(X_0)^{P'_u} = \mathcal{O}(Z)$ and the $\mathbb{T}'$-action commutes with the P'_u-action, we see that Z is $\mathbb{T}'$-stable and $\mathbb{T}'$ acts trivially on the first factor of $P'_u \times Z$. Thus, since $[(P'_u \times Z)/K] = P'_u \times [Z/K]$, to prove that $[X_0/K]$ is a scheme, it suffices to show that $[Z/K]$ is a scheme. Since Z is an affine variety, it suffices to show that K-acts freely on Z.

Since Z is an L-horospherical variety [Per14, Remark 2.3.3], each simple L-module appears with multiplicity at most one in the L-module $\mathcal{O}(Z)$. We denote by Λ the corresponding set of dominant weights, and by M the character group of $\mathbb{T}'$. The horosphericity of the L-action implies that the decomposition of $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ in simple L-modules

$$\mathcal{O}(Z) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V(\lambda)$$

is exactly the isotypic decomposition in $\mathbb{T}'$-modules. In particular, the lattice Λ identifies with a sublattice of M. Let $M_1 \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq M$ be the subset corresponding to the 1-dimensional L-modules $V(\lambda)$ such that $\lambda \in \Lambda$ if and only if $\lambda^* \in \Lambda$. Since Z is normal, the subset M_1 is a satured sublattice

of M and therefore $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ for some sublattice $M_2 \subseteq M$. Then we can write $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ as a tensor product

$$\mathcal{O}(Z) = \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in M_1} V(\lambda) \right) \otimes_k \left(\bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda \cap M_2} V(\mu) \right).$$

Since both sides are $\mathbb{T}' \times L$-algebras, there exist affine $\mathbb{T}' \times L$-varieties Z_1 and Z_2 such that $Z \cong Z_1 \times Z_2$ as a $\mathbb{T}' \times L$-variety.

The direct sum of lattices $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ induces a decomposition $\mathbb{T}' = \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$, where each $\mathbb{T}_i$ acts on Z_i. (Note that $Z_1 = \mathbb{T}_1$, where $\mathbb{T}_1$ acts on Z_1 by translation.) In particular, the K-action on Z is induced by the product of the K-action on Z_1 and Z_2 via the inclusion $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ composed with the projection $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \to \mathbb{T}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

It follows from the definition of $\Lambda \cap M_2$ that Z_2 is an L-spherical variety with a unique fixed point by the L-action. As X_0 is smooth, Z is smooth, and thus Z_2 is smooth. By Luna's slice theorem (see [Lun73, §III.1, Corollary 2]), the variety Z_2 is an L-module. Now, to prove that the K-action on Z is free, it suffices to prove that the K-action on Z_1 is free.

Let $\tau : X \to \mathcal{X} = [X/K]$ be the natural quotient map. The preimage $X_0' = X_0 \cap \tau^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ is a P'-stable big open subset of X_0 on which K acts freely. Moreover, the quotient X_0'/K is a scheme. We have the decomposition $X_0' = P_u' \times U_0$, where $U_0 \subseteq Z$ is a big open subset on which K acts freely. Let $V_0 := \mathbb{T}' \cdot U_0$ and note that V_0 is a $\mathbb{T}'$-stable big open of Z. Note that $[V_0/K]$ is an algebraic space. The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.11 shows that $[V_0/K]$ is in fact a scheme. As $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$, it follows that $\mathrm{Cl}([(P_u' \times V_0)/K) = 0$. Therefore,

$$0 = \mathrm{Cl}([(P_u' \times V_0)/K]) = \mathrm{Cl}(P_u' \times [V_0/K]) = \mathrm{Cl}(P_u' \times (V_0/K)) = \mathrm{Cl}(V_0/K)$$

since P_u' is an affine space [Har77, §II, Proposition 6.6].

Let us note that $\mathcal{O}(V_0)^\times = \mathcal{O}(Z)^\times = \mathcal{O}(Z_1)^\times$ since Z_2 is an affine space (see [KKV89, §1.1, Proposition]). By [KKV89, §5.1, Proposition], there is an exact sequence

$$\left(\mathcal{O}(V_0)^\times/k^\times\right)^K = \left(\mathcal{O}(Z_1)^\times/k^\times\right)^K \to \chi(K) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{alg}}(K, \mathcal{O}(V_0)^\times) = \mathrm{Cl}(V_0/K) = 0.$$

The surjectivity of the first map implies that the induced action of K on Z_1 is faithful. Therefore, K acts freely on an open subset of Z_1. Since Z_1 has a unique $\mathbb{T}'$-orbit, the action of K on Z_1 is free everywhere. This concludes the proof of the Proposition. □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** We will need the following classical results in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth integral algebraic stack of finite type over k. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a big open substack of $\mathcal{X}$. Then the category of line bundles on $\mathcal{Y}$ is equivalent to the category of line bundles on $\mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a scheme, then this is well-known as regular schemes are locally factorial; see [Har80, Propositions 1.6 and 1.9]. The result for algebraic stacks follows from descent theory. □

Corollary 6.7. *Let X be a smooth integral scheme of finite type over k. Let G be a linear group acting on X. Let U be a G-stable big open subscheme of X. Then the category of G-linearized line bundles on U is equivalent to the category of G-linearized line bundles on X.*

Proof. This follows from applying Proposition 6.6 to $[U/G] \subseteq [X/G]$. □

We now prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By assumption, the (right) action of $\mathbb{T} = P/H$ on G/H extends to the stack $\mathcal{X}$. Thus, we can apply Lemma 4.1 and replace $\mathcal{X}$ by $[\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{T}]$, that is, we may and do assume that $\mathbb{T} = P/H$ is trivial, i.e., $H = P$. Moreover, replacing G by a finite étale cover if necessary, we may and do assume that G is a direct product of a torus and a simply-connected semisimple group.

Suppose that $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{G.x}, \mathcal{X})$ is at most 1 for all x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$. Then, the result follows from Proposition 5.6. Therefore, to prove the theorem, we may and do assume that there exists $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ such that $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{G.x}, \mathcal{X})$ is at least 2.

Define $\mathcal{Z}$ as the union of all closed substacks $\overline{G.x}$, where x in $\mathcal{X}(k)$ runs over all points such that $\overline{G.x}$ is of codimension at least 2. It follows from Corollary 5.3 that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a G-stable closed substack of codimension at least 2 in $\mathcal{X}$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the complement of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{X}$. Then, $\mathcal{Y}$ is a G-stable dense open substack of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{G.y}, \mathcal{Y})$ is at most 1 for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}(k)$. Note that $\mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth abstract horospherical G-stack with dense open substack G/H. Therefore, by Proposition 5.6, the stack $\mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth horospherical G-stack, i.e., there exists a smooth horospherical G'-variety Y_1 with open orbit G'/H' and a diagonalizable subgroup $K_1 \subseteq \mathbb{T}_1 := P'/H'$ such that $\mathcal{Y} \cong [Y_1/K_1]$, where $G' = G \times T$ for some torus T acting faithfully on Y_1 and contained in K_1. Since the open G-orbit of $\mathcal{X}$ is the flag variety G/P (as $\mathbb{T}$ is trivial), we must have $K_1 = \mathbb{T}_1$.

(We will now perform the Cox construction on Y_1; see [ADHL15] for a general background on Cox rings. However, this is slightly more complicated than expected, as one needs to reduce to the situation in which there are only constant invertible global regular functions. Once this is done, we will extend all the torsors from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{X}$ using that the complement is of codimension at least two. We will then be in the situation of Conjecture 1.3.)

Let Y_2 be a G'-equivariant smooth compactification of Y_1. Then $\mathcal{O}(Y_2)^\times = k^\times$, and $\operatorname{Cl}(Y_2) = \operatorname{Pic}(Y_2)$ is finitely generated and torsion-free; see [Per14, Corollaries 3.2.5 and 3.2.6]. Let $R(Y_2)$ be the Cox ring of Y_2, and let $Y_4 := \operatorname{Spec} R(Y_2)$. Note that Y_4 is a normal (possibly singular) affine variety with trivial class group [Bri07, Section 3.1]. The variety Y_4 comes with an action of a torus $\mathbb{T}_2$ whose group of characters identifies with $\operatorname{Cl}(Y_2)$, and there exists a $\mathbb{T}_2$-stable smooth quasi-affine subvariety $Y_3 \subseteq Y_4$ such that the $\mathbb{T}_2$-action on Y_3 is free and the quotient morphism $Y_3 \to Y_3/\mathbb{T}_2 = Y_2$ is a $\mathbb{T}_2$-torsor. Moreover, the action of G' on Y_2 lifts to Y_3 and Y_4 [ADHL15, Theorem 4.2.3.2 and Section 4.5.4]. Therefore Y_3 and Y_4 are horospherical G''-varieties, where $G'' = G' \times \mathbb{T}_2 = G \times T \times \mathbb{T}_2$, with open orbit G''/H'', say.

We denote by Y the inverse image of Y_1 in Y_4; the latter is a smooth quasi-affine horospherical G''-variety.

Let $\mathbb{T}_3 = \mathbb{T}_2 \times \mathbb{T}_1$. The variety Y is the total space of a $\mathbb{T}_3$-torsor over the stack $\mathcal{Y} = [Y/\mathbb{T}_3]$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppf}}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{Y})$, the $\mathbb{T}_3$-torsor Y corresponds to a direct sum of line bundles on $\mathcal{Y}$. As the codimension of $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is at least 2, such line bundles extend uniquely to $\mathcal{X}$ (Proposition 6.6). Therefore, as $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$, there exists a unique $\mathbb{T}_3$-torsor $X \to \mathcal{X}$ whose restriction over $\mathcal{Y}$ is $Y \to \mathcal{Y}$. As X is a $\mathbb{T}_3$-torsor over $\mathcal{X}$, we see that X is a smooth integral finite type algebraic stack with has affine diagonal and reductive inertia groups. Moreover, Y is a smooth quasi-affine scheme with trivial Picard group and the complement of Y in X is of codimension at least 2. Thus, it follows from Conjecture 1.3 that X is a quasi-affine scheme. As quasi-affine schemes are separated, we conclude that X is a smooth variety.

The following diagram (whose squares are Cartesian) summarizes the situation so far:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
Y_4 = \operatorname{Spec} R(Y_2) & \longleftarrow & Y_3 & \longleftarrow & Y & \hookrightarrow & X \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle /\mathbb{T}_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle /\mathbb{T}_2} & & \\
 & & [Y_3/\mathbb{T}_2] = Y_2 & \longleftarrow & Y_1 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle /\mathbb{T}_2\times\mathbb{T}_1} \\
 & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle /\mathbb{T}_1} & & \\
 & & & & \mathcal{Y} = [Y_1/\mathbb{T}_1] & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X}
\end{array}$$

By construction, the actions of G'' and $\mathbb{T}_3$ on Y commute, and the $\mathbb{T}_3$-torsor $Y \to \mathcal{Y}$ corresponds to a direct sum of G''-linearized line bundles on $\mathcal{Y}$. The group G'' acts on $\mathcal{X}$ (via the projection $G'' \to G$), and thus also on X (because G'' is a direct product of a torus and a simply-connected

semisimple group), and this action is compatible with the G''-action on Y by Corollary 6.7. Hence, X is a smooth horospherical G''-variety. Since $\mathcal{X} = [X/\mathbb{T}_3]$, we see that $\mathcal{X}$ is a horospherical G-stack. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ has an open covering by horospherical G-stacks $\mathcal{X}_i$. In particular, the action of the torus $\mathbb{T} = P/H$ on the open orbit G/H of $\mathcal{X}$ extends to each $\mathcal{X}_i$, and so it extends to $\mathcal{X}$; see Remark 3.2.

We consider the situation of the proof of Theorem 1.4 where we have a $\mathbb{T}_3$-torsor $\tau : X \to \mathcal{X}$ and where the open orbit of $\mathcal{X}$ is a flag variety. Let us write $Z_i := \tau^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_i)$ for every index i. Note that the G-equivariant automorphism group of $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathbb{T}_3$ and $\mathcal{X}_i = [Z_i/\mathbb{T}_3]$ is a horospherical stack. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, the stack Z_i is a horospherical stack.

Moreover, since Conjecture 1.3 holds for smooth horospherical stacks (see Proposition 6.5), each Z_i is a scheme. We conclude by Remark 6.1 that X is a horospherical variety. □

References

[AHR] Jarod Alper, Jack Hall, and David Rydh. A Luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks. *arXiv:1504.06467v1.*

[Alp10] Jarod Alper. On the local quotient structure of Artin stacks. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 214(9):1576–1591, 2010.

[AKP15] Klaus Altmann, Valentina Kiritchenko, and Lars Petersen. Merging divisorial with colored fans. *Michigan Math. J.*, 64(1):3–38, 2015.

[ADHL15] Ivan Arzhantsev, Ulrich Derenthal, Jürgen Hausen, and Antonio Laface. *Cox rings*, volume 144 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.

[AB] Kenneth Ascher, and Dori Bejleri. Moduli of weighted stable elliptic surfaces and invariance of log plurigenera. *Preprint*

[BT65] Armand Borel and Jacques Tits. Groupes réductifs. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHES*, 27:55–151, 1965.

[BCS05] Lev A. Borisov, Linda Chen, and Gregory G. Smith. The orbifold Chow ring of toric Deligne-Mumford stacks. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18(1):193–215 (electronic), 2005.

[Bri91] Michel Brion. Sur la géométrie des variétés sphériques. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 66(2):237–262, 1991.

[Bri07] Michel Brion. The total coordinate ring of a wonderful variety. *J. Algebra*, 313(1):61–99, 2007.

[EGAIV] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):361, 1967.

[FMN10] Barbara Fantechi, Etienne Mann, and Fabio Nironi. Smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stacks. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 648:201–244, 2010.

[GM] W.D. Gillam and Sam Molcho. A theory of stacky fans. *arXiv:1512.07586v1.*

[GS15a] Anton Geraschenko and Matthew Satriano. Toric stacks I: The theory of stacky fans. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(2):1033–1071, 2015.

[GS15b] Anton Geraschenko and Matthew Satriano. Toric stacks II: Intrinsic characterization of toric stacks. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(2):1073–1094, 2015.

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.*, 254(2):121–176, 1980.

[Hau00] Jürgen Hausen. Algebraicity criteria for almost homogeneous complex spaces. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 74(4):317–320, 2000.

[HS10] Jürgen Hausen and Hendrik Süss. The Cox ring of an algebraic variety with torus action. *Adv. Math.* 225 (2010), no. 2, 977–1012.

[Iwa09] Isamu Iwanari. The category of toric stacks. *Compos. Math.*, 145(3):718–746, 2009.

[KKV89] Friedrich Knop, Hanspeter Kraft, and Thierry Vust. The Picard group of a G-variety. In *Algebraische Transformationsgruppen und Invariantentheorie*, volume 13 of *DMV Sem.*, pages 77–87. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989.

[Kno91] Friedrich Knop. The Luna-Vust theory of spherical embeddings. In *Proceedings of the Hyderabad Conference on Algebraic Groups (Hyderabad, 1989)*, pages 225–249. Manoj Prakashan, Madras, 1991.

[Laf02] Laurent Lafforgue. Chtoucas de Drinfeld et correspondance de Langlands. *Invent. Math.*, 147(1):1–241, 2002.

[LMB00] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly. *Champs algébriques*, volume 39 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[Lun73] Domingo Luna. Slices étales. pages 81–105. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33, 1973.

[Pas06] Boris Pasquier. Variétés horosphériques de Fano (PhD thesis). https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00111912v1, 2006.
[Pas08] Boris Pasquier. Variétés horosphériques de Fano. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 136(2):195–225, 2008.
[Pau81] Franz Pauer. Normale Einbettungen von G/U. *Math. Ann.*, 257(3):371–396, 1981.
[Per14] Nicolas Perrin. On the geometry of spherical varieties. *Transform. Groups*, 19(1):171–223, 2014.
[PV72] V. L. Popov and È. B. Vinberg. A certain class of quasihomogeneous affine varieties. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 36:749–764, 1972.
[Res04] Nicolas Ressayre. Sur les orbites d'un sous-groupe sphérique dans la variété des drapeaux. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 132(4):543–567, 2004.
[Rom05] Matthieu Romagny. Group actions on stacks and applications. *Michigan Math. J.*, 53(1):209–236, 2005.
[Sta17] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu, 2017.
[Sum74] Hideyasu Sumihiro. Equivariant completion. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 14:1–28, 1974.
[Tyo12] Ilya Tyomkin. Tropical geometry and correspondence theorems via toric stacks. *Math. Ann.*, 353(3):945–995, 2012.
[Wed] Torsten Wedhorn. Spherical spaces. *arXiv:1512.01972v1*.

Institut für Mathematik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Staudingerweg 9, 55099 Mainz, Germany
E-mail address: peykar@uni-mainz.de

Mathematisches Institut, Heinrich Heine Universität, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
E-mail address: langlois.kevin18@gmail.com

Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne - UMR 5584 du CNRS, Université de Bourgogne, 9 avenue Alain Savary, BP 47870 - 21078 DIJON Cedex, France
E-mail address: ronan.terpereau@u-bourgogne.fr